IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JERRY G. WILLS,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

No. C 05-02690 CW (PR)

Petitioner,

ORDER GRANTING AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO APPEAL AND DIRECTING CLERK OF THE COURT TO PROCESS

v.

NOTICE OF APPEAL

BEN CURRY, Warden,

Respondent.

9 _____

On September 3, 2008, Petitioner filed a notice of appeal following this Court's July 15, 2008 Order denying his habeas petition challenging as a violation of his constitutional rights the denial of parole by the California Board of Parole Hearings (Board). Petitioner has also filed a document entitled, "Motion for Relief from Default" (docket no. 19), which the Court will construe as a request for the Court to extend the time for appeal until September 3, 2008, based on excusable neglect.

Rule 4(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure requires that a notice of appeal "be filed with the clerk of the district court within 30 days after the entry of the judgment or order appealed from." Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1). Relief from the deadline for a timely notice of appeal may be obtained by a motion in the district court under Rule 4(a)(5), which allows for an extension of time if the party requests it within thirty days of the expiration of the time to file the notice and shows excusable neglect or good cause. See Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5).

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ The Board of Prison Terms was abolished effective July 1, 2005, and replaced with the Board of Parole Hearings. Cal. Penal Code § 5075(a).

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Petitioner filed his request for the Court to extend the time for appeal within thirty days of the expiration of the time to file his notice of appeal (August 15, 2008), and he shows excusable neglect. Accordingly, the Court grants Petitioner's request. Therefore, Petitioner's Notice of Appeal filed on September 3, 2008 is timely filed.

The Ninth Circuit has made clear that a state prisoner challenging the administrative decision by the Board to deny his request for parole need not obtain a certificate of appealability. See Rosas v. Nielsen, 428 F.3d 1229, 1232 (9th Cir. 2005).

The Clerk of the Court shall process the notice of appeal. adiewiken

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 9/18/08

CLAUDIA WILKEN United States District Judge

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 3 WILLS, Case Number: CV05-02690 CW 4 Plaintiff. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 5 v. 6 KANE et al, 7 Defendant. 8 9 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California. 10 That on September 18, 2008, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said 11 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle 12 located in the Clerk's office. 13 14 Jerry G. Wills 15 C-20852 PO Box 689 16 Soledad, CA 93960-0689 17 Scott Colin Mather CA State Attorney General's Office 18 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 19 Dated: September 18, 2008 20 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Sheilah Cahill, Deputy Clerk 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Case 4:05-cv-02690-CW Document 22 Filed 09/18/08 Page 3 of 3